

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,984	09/26/2001	Philip Sicola	R255-DB	2964	
7:	590 10/01/2003	•		2.	
David A. Belasco, Esq.			EXAMINER		
BEEHLER & F Suite 330			KILKENNY	Y, TODD J	
100 Corporate Pointe Culver City, CA 90230			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
0401 01.5, 01	- /	•	1733		
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003	DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	T A - 10 - 10 - 10	-	4->			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/964,984	SICOLA, PHILIP				
Office Action Summary		Examin r	Art Unit				
		Todd J. Kilkenny	1733				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sh	et with the correspondenc address				
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failul - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to be to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, y within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (it, cause the application to become state of the state o	nay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	Pennancius to communication(s) filed on						
1)∐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□	·	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
· · _	on of Claims						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration	1.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	<u></u>	by the Everiner				
וש(טו	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	•	·				
111	Applicant may not request that any objection to the proposed drawing correction filed on						
11/	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		Lisapproved by the Examiner.				
12) 🗆 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
•—	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 LL	S.C. & 119(a)_(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	i priority under 55 C.	3.0. § 119(a)-(d) 01 (1).				
a)L		s have been received					
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>						
			•				
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu ee the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ol>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).				
14)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).	,			
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •					
Attachment	•	<del>-</del>					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Not	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) or:				



Art Unit: 1733

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121: 1.
  - ١. Claims 1 – 15, 21 and 23 - 26, drawn to an apparatus for forming curved laminations, classified in class 269, subclass 289.
  - II. Claims 16 – 20 and 22, drawn to a method for forming curved laminations, classified in class 156, subclass 296.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed be used to practice another and materially different process such as process that forms a curved lamination through mechanical connection as opposed to gluing and/or wherein as opposed to veneer portions, metal components are worked upon.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1733

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

## If applicant elects Group I from the restriction above,

Species  $A_1$ , wherein the curved upper surface is defined by at least a first die board as apparently required in claims 1 - 15 and 23 - 26.

Species A<sub>2</sub>, wherein the curved upper surface is defined by the plurality of support members each having a height equal to the height of the upper curve profile desired as apparently required in claim 21.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 - 18, 24 - 30, 36, 37 and 43 are generic.

### If applicant elects Group II from the restriction above,

Species  $A_1$ , wherein the curved upper surface is defined by at least a first die board as apparently required in claims 16 - 20.

Species A<sub>2</sub>, wherein the curved upper surface is defined by the plurality of support members each having a height equal to the height of the upper curve profile desired as apparently required in claim 21.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Art Unit: 1733

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to Beehler and Pavitt to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1733

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Todd J. Kilkenny** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-6386**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (9 - 5).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Ball can be reached on (703) 308-2058. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

1.

TJK

PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1300